

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,146	01/03/2002	Boris Bronfin	MM4500	7431	
1109 75	590 08/02/2006		EXAMINER		
	, KILL & OLICK, P.C.	IP, SIKYIN			
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 10020-1182		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
7.2 7.0.1.3,			1742	1742	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/038,146	BRONFIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sikyin Ip	1742		

	Sikyin Ip	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this analysis 		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) :	·	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-8,11,12 and 14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration.	lered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance
because:			
See Continuation Sheet.	(576/65/66 576/65/5		Z
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s) <i>(</i>	C
			IN IP EXAMINER
			,

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments have never appeared in claims; thus, they raise new issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons set forth in the final rejection. Applicants' argument with respect to Be is noted. But, deleting Be from claim 1 would not exclude Be from claimed composition because of instant transition expression "containing". Moreover, pages 4 and 13 of instant specification does not support all claimed alloys are Be free. Applicants' argument with respect to Ohori is noted. But more than 6 wt.% Al is same as 6 wt.% Al unless applicants can show the property difference due to the different Al content between 6 wt.% and greater than 6wt.%